Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/590,942	PINE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628	

All Participants:

(1) *Frantzy Poinvil*.

(2) *Ari Pine*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *12 October 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103 rejection

Claims discussed:
75-105

Prior art documents discussed:
Makivic

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant discussed how the claimed invention distinguishes over Makivic. The Examiner suggests language to overcome Makivic.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Poinvil

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)